UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/531,958	01/06/2006	Akio Toshima	38195.74	8806		
54067 OKADA	7590 09/17/200	7	EXAMINER			
	& BENNETT, LLP	TRA, TUYEN Q				
SUITE 850	BORO DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN, VA	22102		2873			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/17/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM uspto@kbiplaw.com

						TH	
,		1	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
			10/531,958	-	TOSHIMA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		E	Examiner		Art Unit		
			Гuyen Q. Tra		2873		
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commun	ication appea	ers on the cover sheet w	vith the co	rrespondence ac	idress	
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F R IS LONGER, FROM THE M me may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this comr reply is specified above, the maximum st within the set or extended period for reply red by the Office later than three months erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(inunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUNI a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timel NTHS from the BANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status							
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>03 Mar</i>	ch 2006.				
			ction is non-final.				
•	ecution as to the O.G. 213.	e merits is					
Disposition of C	laims						
4a) Of t 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☐ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s	s) 31-67 is/are pending in the he above claim(s) is/as s) is/are allowed. s) is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) 31-67 are subject to restrict	re withdrawn					
Application Pap	ers						
10)☐ The dra Applicar Replace	ecification is objected to by the wing(s) filed on is/are nt may not request that any objected the drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected the content of the cont	: a) ☐ accep ection to the dra g the correction	awing(s) be held in abeyan is required if the drawing	ince. See a g(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 C		
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknow a) Ali 1. C 2. C 3. C	eledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationattached detailed Office action	documents h documents h of the priority onal Bureau (nave been received. nave been received in A A documents have beer PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application	n No I in this National	Stage	
	rences Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (F	PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draft	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (l sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	Paper No 5) Notice of 6) Other:	(s)/Mail Date	e		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- A). The species depicted in claims 31-33, 40-47, 52-54, 57-61, 63 and 68 directed to displaying an astigmatic axis angle determination means.
- B). The species depicted in claims 34-39, 55, 56, 62 and 64 directed to hyperopia and myopia determination means.
- C). The species depicted in claims 48-51 and 65-67 directed to refractive power determination means.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/531,958

Art Unit: 2873

وَ

Accordingly, applicant is required to provide a list of claims and figures to which each is directed. Then, applicant is required to select claims to a single Figure (invention). Applicant is given 30 days from the date of this letter to provide this list and selection to avoid the question of abandonment.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/531,958

Art Unit: 2873

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyen Q. Tra whose telephone number is 571-272-2343. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TT August 28, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER